

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/814,160	WALLACH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Roger L. Browdy.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 September 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-8, 11-17, and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Zhang et al. (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 1992 Jul 1; 89 (13): 5847-5851)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Mr. Browdy to discuss the merit of a proposed an Examiner's amendment that would place this application in condition for allowance. The Examiner discussed reasons for the amendment, citing, for example, published guidelines for examination of patent applications under the 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as a basis for rejection of the claims presented for examination, and Zhang et al., as prior art anticipating subject matter encompassed by claim 27. It was agreed that the amendment would be entered with the provision that the requirement to elect a single species of the invention be withdrawn, such that the claims would be considered to the full extent. The Examiner agreed to search and consider the full merit of the claims and to enter the amendment, as requested, if it places this application in condition for allowance.